Alignment of NCTM Standards (2020) for Secondary and Middle Level to WVTPA Rubrics

Alignment is based on how well WVTPA tasks rubric criteria, rather than task directions, provide evidence supporting selected components of the NCTM Standards (2020) for Secondary and Middle Level.

Definition of WVTPA Rubric Level of Support

Limited Alignment

The WVTPA rubric provides partial evidence for the standard component. All parts of the component at the NCTM Reviewer Rubric Level 3 are not addressed in WVTPA rubric Level 3.

Moderate Alignment

The WVTPA rubric provides sufficient evidence to meet the standard component. All parts of the component at the NCTM Reviewer Rubric Level 3 are addressed in WVTPA rubric Level 3 and above.

Strong Alignment

The WVTPA rubric provides strong evidence to meet the standard component. All parts of the component at the NCTM Reviewer Rubric Level 3 are addressed in WVTPA rubric Level 2 and above.

Alignment Table

Standard Component	WVTPA Task Rubric Number and Level of Support
*3a) Student Diversity. Candidates identify and use students'	
individual and group differences when planning rigorous and	1 – Limited
engaging mathematics instruction that supports students'	4 – Strong
meaningful participation and learning.	
3b) Students' Mathematical Strengths. Candidates identify and use	
students' mathematical strengths to plan rigorous and engaging	2 – Moderate
mathematics instruction that supports students' meaningful	
participation and learning.	
4a) Establish Rigorous Mathematics Learning Goals. Candidates	
establish rigorous mathematics learning goals for students based on	2 – Strong
mathematics standards and practices.	
4b) Engage Students in High Cognitive Demand Learning.	
Candidates select or develop and implement high cognitive demand	5 – Limited
tasks to engage students in mathematical learning experiences that	
promote reasoning and sense making.	
4c) Incorporate Mathematics-Specific Tools. Candidates select	
mathematics-specific tools, including technology, to support	4 - Moderate
students' learning, understanding, and application of mathematics	
and to integrate tools into instruction.	
4e) Elicit and Use Student Responses . Candidates use multiple	
student responses, potential challenges, and misconceptions, and	5 – Limited
they highlight students' thinking as a central aspect of mathematics	
teaching and learning.	

Standard Component	WVTPA Task Rubric Number and Level of Support
4g) Facilitate Discourse. Candidates pose purposeful questions to	
facilitate discourse among students that ensures that each student	5 – Limited
learns rigorous mathematics and builds a shared understanding of	5 – Limited
mathematical ideas.	
5a) Assessing for Learning. Candidates select, modify, or create both	
informal and formal assessments to elicit information on students'	3 – Moderate
progress toward rigorous mathematics learning goals.	
5b) Analyze Assessment Data. Candidates collect information on	
students' progress and use data from informal and formal	
assessments to analyze progress of individual students, the class as a	6 – Limited
whole, and subgroups of students disaggregated by demographic	
categories toward rigorous mathematics learning goals.	
5c) Modify Instruction. Candidates use the evidence of student	
learning of individual students, the class as a whole, and subgroups	
of students disaggregated by demographic categories to analyze the	6 – Limited
effectiveness of their instruction with respect to these groups.	
Candidates propose adjustments to instruction to improve student	
learning for each and every student based on the analysis.	
6b) Promote Positive Mathematical Identities. Candidates reflect on	
their impact on students' mathematical identities and develop	7 – Limited
professional learning goals that promote students' positive	/ – Lillilleu
mathematical identities.	
6d) Collaborate with Colleagues. Candidates collaborate with	
colleagues to grow professionally and support student learning of	7 – Limited
mathematics.	