

Acknowledgments

The list of people that are owed thanks for their influence on my work as a mathematics teacher is lengthy. Special thanks to Margie Raub Hunt, my mentor and friend; Dr. Kenneth Cummins, my inspirational undergraduate mathematics professor; and Dr. Patricia Wilson for opening my eyes to what mathematics education could be in my graduate program at Ohio State University. Thank you to all my colleagues over the years and to all my students for helping me to reflect on what makes a great class and a good teacher.

—Frederick L. Dillon

Thanks to all the wonderful teachers over my life who inspired my love of mathematics and my desire to pass that love on to my students—Mr. John Mask in junior high school, Dr. Showalter in college, and Dr. James Cantrell in graduate school. Thanks also to the many mentors over my career who have led by example in their efforts to improve mathematics teaching and learning—Dr. James Wilson, Dr. Mary Lindquist, Dr. Francis (Skip) Fennell, and so many others that I can't mention them all. Finally, thanks to Marilyn Strutchens, my wife and colleague, who inspires me to continue fighting the good fight.

—W. Gary Martin

A very special thank you is due to my former high school math teachers Merry Ledbetter, Kyle Payne, and Steve Meredith. They sought to empower and provide me access to a rich mathematics education that contributed significantly to my writing of this text. Acknowledgment and thanks must also be given to my extraordinary mentors and co-authors, Dr. W. Gary Martin and Dr. Marilyn Strutchens, who both inspired me to further my education and become a mathematics educational leader. Their influence and guidance changed my life's work. Words could never express the thanks and credit I also owe my new friend and writing partner, Fred Dillon! Most importantly, I thank my incredible wife, Mallory Conway, and my Lord, Jesus Christ, for always being there for me.

—Basil M. Conway IV

Thank you to all the teachers who helped me to develop a love of mathematics over the years—Mrs. Phyllis Sanders in high school, Dr. James Wilson in graduate school, and others. Thanks also to the many mentors over my career who have led by example in their efforts to improve mathematics teaching and learning—Dr. Patricia F. Campbell, Dr. Martin Johnson, Dr. Carol Malloy, Dr. Francis (Skip) Fennell, and many others. Finally, thanks to W. Gary Martin, my spouse and colleague, who encourages and helps me to continue the fight for equitable mathematics classrooms for each and every student.

—Marilyn E. Strutchens

Publisher's Acknowledgments

Corwin gratefully acknowledges the contributions of the following reviewers:

Kimberly Hoffman
Math Teacher
Buckeye Union High School District
Goodyear, AZ

Diana Kasbaum
Past President
Association of State Supervisors of Mathematics
Windsor, WI

Cathy Martin
K-12 Director of Mathematics
Denver Public Schools
Denver, CO

Michele Matin
Mathematics Administrator
Alabama State Department of Education
Montgomery, AL

Jenny Salls
Teacher
Washoe County School District
Reno, NV

Letter to High School Mathematics Teachers

Dear High School Mathematics Teachers,

The Common Core Mathematics Companion: The Standards Decoded, High School: What They Say, What They Mean, How to Teach Them is designed to support you in helping students learn what they need to know and be able to do. This book describes critical mathematical ideas for the high school years and is intended to be your guide to both the Content Standards and the Mathematical Practices. The book focuses on promoting understanding of teaching standards, as well as effective teaching practices, including how the standards fit into the broader organization of the Content Standards, key mathematics vocabulary, suggested materials, descriptions of both student and teacher actions, and sample activities.

The Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (CCSS-M) Content Standards and Standards for Mathematical Practice were developed to promote student achievement and have the potential to improve classroom instruction across the United States. The Content Standards are designed to help ensure students deeply understand the mathematics they are expected to learn. The Standards for Mathematical Practice ensure that students develop effective ways of mathematical thinking. Together, they form the foundation for the development of rigorous, relevant, and coherent mathematics curricula that will help ensure that each and every student is ready for future success, including college and the workforce.

The CCSS-M promotes conceptual understanding and mathematical reasoning, as well as proficiency with specific skills needed for future success. At the high school level, the standards are organized into broad conceptual categories or strands of mathematical proficiency rather than around particular course organizations. Each conceptual category is organized into domains and clusters that provide understanding of the structure of the standards. The domains describe areas of focus within a conceptual category, and clusters are groups of related standards within a domain. Thus, this volume provides an understanding of the standards and their organization that will inform whatever course structure your state or district uses. Also included in the CCSS-M document are eight Standards for Mathematical Practice. These standards describe the mathematical habits of mind that proficient students demonstrate in doing mathematics with understanding. The Standards for Mathematics Practice are as follows:

1. Make sense of problems and persevere in solving them.
2. Reason abstractly and quantitatively.
3. Construct viable arguments and critique the reasoning of others.
4. Model with mathematics.
5. Use appropriate tools strategically.
6. Attend to precision.
7. Look for and make use of structure.
8. Look for and express regularity in repeated reasoning.

The Content Standards and Standards for Mathematical Practice together provide focus on developing conceptual understanding, skills based on that understanding, and application of key ideas.

We suggest that you will find this book to be a valuable resource for collaborating with your colleagues in better understanding the standards, making decisions on how to sequence the standards, and selecting appropriate instructional resources. While it is important to focus on the standards included in the courses you are teaching, it is also critical to consider how what you are teaching fits into the broader trajectory of the conceptual categories that extend across the high school grades. Thus, forming both within- and across-course collaborations will be useful. Keep in mind that learning to integrate the Standards for Mathematical Practice with the Content Standards will be an ongoing process. Do not give up if you find this challenging; rather, persevere individually and as a department to become more proficient. Your dedication to teaching these standards well will make a tremendous difference for your students' mathematical development and prospects for future success.

We hope you will find this book a helpful resource and a valuable companion as you work to help your students become successful mathematics learners.

Sincerely,

Frederick L. Dillon
W. Gary Martin
Basil M. Conway IV
Marilyn E. Strutchens

Letter to High School Principals

Dear High School Principal,

The Common Core Mathematics Companion: The Standards Decoded, High School: What They Say, What They Mean, How to Teach Them is designed to support teachers in their learning and implementation of the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (CCSS-M). The book focuses on the critical ideas of high school mathematics, including a meaningful explanation of each standard, along with effective teaching practices and learning activities. Mathematics vocabulary and suggested teaching materials are highlighted for each standard. The book is not only a reference but a guide to help teachers more deeply understand all aspects of the standards.

As a principal, you have a key role in ensuring the successful implementation of the CCSS-M. You need to be a strong advocate to ensure a focus on promoting the success of each and every student in gaining the deep mathematical knowledge he or she will need for future success, whether in further study of mathematics or in the workplace. You can also support teachers as they engage in professional learning to better understand these standards, how to sequence them, and how to select appropriate instructional resources. Additionally, given that the high schools standards are presented by broad conceptual categories rather than course, teachers will need to collaborate to ensure that they meet the intent of the standards across whatever course organization is being implemented at your school. Teachers also need to recognize the importance of the Standards for Mathematical Practice in ensuring that students develop the mathematical thinking skills needed for future success.

The CCSS-M promotes conceptual understanding and mathematical reasoning, as well as proficiency with specific skills needed for future success. At the high school level, the standards are organized into broad conceptual categories or strands of mathematical proficiency rather than around particular course organizations. Each conceptual category is organized into domains and clusters that provide understanding of the structure of the standards. The domains describe areas of focus within a conceptual category, and clusters are groups of related standards within a domain. Thus, this volume provides an understanding of the standards and their organization that will inform whatever course structure your state or district uses. Also included in the CCSS-M document are eight Standards for Mathematical Practice. These standards describe the mathematical habits of mind that proficient students demonstrate in doing mathematics with understanding. The Standards for Mathematics Practice are as follows:

1. Make sense of problems and persevere in solving them.
2. Reason abstractly and quantitatively.
3. Construct viable arguments and critique the reasoning of others.
4. Model with mathematics.
5. Use appropriate tools strategically.
6. Attend to precision.
7. Look for and make use of structure.
8. Look for and express regularity in repeated reasoning.

The Content Standards and Standards for Mathematical Practice together provide focus on developing conceptual understanding, skills based on that understanding, and application of key ideas needed for students' future success.

The Common Core Mathematics Companion: The Standards Decoded, High School: What They Say, What They Mean, How to Teach Them may be used in a variety of ways by different audiences—including high school mathematics departments, professional learning communities, individual classroom teachers, and special education teachers—based on the different knowledge, skills, and opportunities for collaboration. You may wish to supply every teacher with a personal copy of the book for use as part of a school-wide initiative or book study. Such a study will help improve both content knowledge and understanding of the mathematics teachers are expected to teach. Providing the opportunity for teachers to engage and use the book in both horizontal and vertical planning with colleagues will allow teachers to dig deeply into the standards. Use of this resource will add cohesiveness and consistency, ensuring all high school students will benefit from similar instruction. Be sure to invite teachers to bring this resource to all planning and professional development work so that they can add their notes as they gain new insights. You may even want teachers to start or end a meeting with a lesson they have planned based upon the suggestions and strategies in this reference guide.

It is our hope that *The Common Core Mathematics Companion: The Standards Decoded, High School* will provide a foundation for the improvement of professional practice at both the classroom and school levels and will help teachers ensure that each and every student gains the mathematical preparation they deserve.

Sincerely,

Frederick L. Dillon
W. Gary Martin
Basil M. Conway IV
Marilyn E. Strutchens

Introduction

A Brief History of the Common Core

Mathematics standards are not new. In fact, they have been around for more than twenty-five years. In 1989, the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM) released *Curriculum and Evaluation Standards for School Mathematics*, which outlined both standards for the content that students should learn in three gradebands (K–4, 5–8, and 9–12) as well as mathematical processes such as problem solving, reasoning, communication, and connections. NCTM updated these standards in *Principles and Standards for School Mathematics*, released in 2000, which again provided content standards in four gradebands (prekindergarten to Grade 2, 3–5, 6–8, and 9–12) as well as standards for mathematical processes. These documents provided a vision for K–12 mathematics, and most states used these documents to create grade-level standards throughout the 1990s and 2000s.

In 2008, representatives of the National Governors Association and the Council of Chief State Schools Officers met to discuss the creation of the Common Core State Standards Initiative, with the purpose of developing a set of common standards that states could adopt, thus increasing the coherence of what mathematics was taught across the nation. Following that meeting, a writing team led by William McCallum, Phil Daro, and Jason Zimba was established, including mathematicians, mathematics educators, mathematics education researchers, and classroom teachers. The writing team built on existing research in mathematics education, as well as standards and benchmarks from high-performing countries and states. The states were provided extensive input into the process, and an open invitation for feedback was issued to mathematics educators and mathematics education organizations, including NCTM and state mathematics associations, as well as to the general public. This feedback was considered, and much of it was incorporated into the final document released in June 2010. Following the release of the standards, individual states reviewed the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics as a part of their established process for establishing standards, and many chose to adopt them as their state standards.

The Common Core State Standards for Mathematics

“The Common Core State Standards are a clear set of shared goals and expectations for the knowledge and skills students need in English language arts and mathematics at each grade level so they can be prepared to succeed in college, career, and life” (Common Core State Standards Initiative, n.d., n.p.).

The Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (CCSS-M) include two critical components for learning mathematics: (1) the Content Standards and (2) the Standards for Mathematical Practice. The Content Standards explicitly state the mathematics students should know and be able to do at each grade level. The Content Standards of the Common Core are fewer in number than most previous state standards. At the same time, the expectation is that students will develop *deeper* understanding of the content so less time is spent on reteaching from year to year. At the high school level, a set of standards to be achieved across the high school grades is established, with states choosing different course organizations through which to teach the standards.

The Standards for Mathematical Practice, the second component, describe the habits of mind that students should develop as they do mathematics. These eight Standards are the same across all grades levels, K–12. When planning lessons, teachers should consider not only the content standards they will address but also how they will address the Standards for Mathematical Practice—embedding them in their lesson planning so that students are using the practices throughout their learning of mathematics.

The Common Core State Standards are *not* a curriculum. Decisions about mathematics programs, textbooks, materials, sequencing topics and units, and instructional frameworks are to be made by local school districts. Furthermore, the Standards *do not* tell teachers how to teach, only what students need to know and be able to do. Schools and teachers need to decide how to help students reach both the Content and the Practice Standards.

Finally, the Common Core State Standards *do not* dictate specific assessments. Many states will be using assessments developed by national assessment consortia developed to address the Common Core State Standards, such as the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) or Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium (SBAC). Other states may choose to develop their own assessments, sometimes in conjunction with an outside company or organization. Please check your state’s website for the specific assessments it uses.

Instructional Shifts

While the Common Core State Standards do not call for a particular instructional approach or philosophy, they are based on three specific curricular foci that require shifts in instructional approach: *focus*, *coherence*, and *rigor*.

Focus: The Content Standards call for greater focus on fewer topics. An examination of the mathematics standards of high-performing countries indicates that fewer, more focused topics are taught at a grade level to allow students to deepen understanding of the mathematics and gain a stronger foundation for ongoing study of mathematics. At the high school level, the standards are organized into six *conceptual categories* (number and quantity, algebra, functions, modeling, geometry, and statistics and probability). The major mathematical work within each of those conceptual categories is described in the standards. However the standards are organized by states into courses, the list of Content Standards for a particular course is not linear, nor is it a checklist. Instead, emphasis should be placed on achieving the major themes of the standards.

Coherence: The Content Standards also emphasize the view of mathematics as a coherent body of knowledge made up of topics that are all connected and build on each other. The call for coherence in the Content Standards ensures that there are carefully constructed progressions across the grades so students build new understanding on the foundations built in previous years. At the high school levels, each standard must be considered as a part of a larger web of understandings that students are developing within and across courses. This web exists both within conceptual categories and across conceptual categories so that students develop a coherent understanding of mathematics.

Rigor: The third instructional shift, rigor, refers to how we support students in developing deep understanding of each standard. Rigor is not built by merely assigning more worksheets of more difficult examples and problems. Rather, rigor calls for instructional practices that balance conceptual understanding, procedural skills, and applying mathematical ideas to a variety of contexts. The following descriptions of each component of rigor are from www.corestandards.org.

Conceptual Understanding: “The standards call for conceptual understanding of key concepts, such as place value and ratios. Students must be able to access concepts from a number of perspectives in order to see math as more than a set of mnemonics or discrete procedures.” At the high school level, this suggests focus on underlying properties and principles rather than shortcuts such as “FOIL” for multiplying binomials.

Procedural Skills and Fluency: “The standards call for speed and accuracy in calculation. Students must practice core functions, such as single-digit multiplication, in order to have access to more complex concepts and procedures. Fluency must be addressed in the classroom or through supporting materials, as some students might require more practice than others.” At the high school level, many teachers observe that their students may not have developed the necessary speed and accuracy with basic computations from earlier grades. However, requiring remediation of those skills prior to addressing high school material will not allow sufficient time to achieve the high school standards. Instead, remediation should be embedded in instructions, or other supports—such as calculators that allow students to progress with high school level material—should be provided. Additionally, throughout the high school grades, attention should be given to developing basic procedures with each course that are needed for later work.

Applications: “The standards call for students to use math in situations that require mathematical knowledge. Correctly applying mathematical knowledge depends on students having a solid conceptual understanding and procedural fluency.” At the high school level, this is particularly addressed through the modeling conceptual category. While this conceptual category does not include specific content standards, standards throughout the other conceptual categories are designated as addressing modeling.

Major Work of High School

The Content Standards for high school are broken into six conceptual categories or strands of mathematics. These conceptual categories describe the mathematics that students should learn across the high school grades, whatever course organization is used by a state or district. A brief summary of each conceptual category follows.

Number and Quantity includes work in four domains. The work from previous grades is extended to two new number systems: *The Real Number System* and *The Complex Number System*. Students also focus on *Quantities*—numbers with units based on measurement—and how they can be used to describe real-world situations. Finally, students learn to represent and model with *Vector and Matrix Quantities*, as well as perform operations with them.

Algebra builds students’ use of algebraic symbols to describe mathematical and real-world contexts, with an emphasis on developing both conceptual and procedural fluency. *Seeing Structure in Expressions* focuses on meaningfully interpreting and creating equivalent expressions. *Arithmetic with Polynomials and Rational Expressions* includes seeing the polynomials as a mathematical

system, understanding the relationship between zeros and factors of polynomials, using polynomial identities, and working with rational expressions, which are quotients of polynomials.

Functions describe relationships between quantities, where one quantity determines another. They are foundational to analyzing both mathematical and real-world situations. *Interpreting Functions* involves being able to understand functions and their symbolization, to interpret what a function tells us about a situation it describes, and to use different representations of a function to better understand its features. *Building Functions* involves creating a function to describe a relationship between two quantities, as well as to build new functions based on existing functions through various transformations and finding inverses. Particular classes of functions to be explored include *Linear, Quadratic, and Exponential Models* and *Trigonometric Functions*.

Modeling involves using mathematics (and statistics) to better understand problems that arise in everyday life or the workplace. Rather than having its own standards, attention to this conceptual category is to be integrated within the other conceptual categories. While Modeling is a Standard for Mathematical Practice that carries across all grades, K–12, Modeling is singled out at the high school level as a curricular goal in and of itself, implying that it should be more explicitly and intensively addressed.

Geometry is very useful in understanding both real-life situations and other areas of mathematics. In contrast to the typical approach in high school, geometry in the CCSS-M is developed through an emphasis on transformations. In *Congruence*, students explore transformations and rigid motions that preserve congruence as a backdrop to developing more formal geometric proofs and constructions. *Similarity, Right Triangles, and Trigonometry* includes understanding similarity transformations and applying them to proofs involving similarity and to trigonometry. *Circles* focuses on theorems about circles, as well as measurements of circles. *Expressing Geometric Properties with Equations* uses algebra notation to describe conic sections and prove simple theorems. *Geometry Measurement and Dimension* particularly focuses on three-dimensional objects, and *Modeling with Geometry* explores the use of geometric models to explore real-world situations.

Statistics and Probability involves developing the understanding needed to make real-world decisions based on data. *Interpreting Categorical and Quantitative Data* involves analyzing data involving either count or measurement variables, including relationships between two such variables. *Making Inferences and Justifying Conclusions* focuses on understanding the importance of randomization in statistical experiments and drawing valid conclusions based on a statistical study. *Conditional Probability and the Rules of Probability* focuses on understanding and using independence, conditional probability, and the rules of probability. *Using Probability to Make Decisions* involves calculating and using expected values and other probabilistic models to evaluate real-world situations.

Note that these conceptual categories are not distinct but rather interact and overlap in many ways. For example, algebraic notation is used across all of the conceptual categories, particularly functions, and geometry representations can be useful in visualizing ideas within other conceptual categories. Moreover, these content standards cannot be fully achieved without integrating attention to the Standards for Mathematical Practice; the practices provide meaning and motivation for the content that is being studied.

Common Core Word Wall

The language of the Common Core differs from traditional standards. Familiarity with the terms *standards*, *clusters*, *domains*, and *conceptual categories* is critical.


Standards define what student should understand and be able to do.

Clusters summarize groups of related standards. Be aware that standards from different clusters may sometimes be closely related, as mathematics is a connected subject.

Domains are larger groups of related standards. Be aware that standards from different domains may sometimes be closely related.

Conceptual categories define broad areas of high school mathematics.

In referring to standard 1 before, this book will use the code “A.REI.A.1.” “A” refers to the Algebra conceptual category, “REI” refers to the Reasoning with Equations and Inequalities domain, “A” refers to the first cluster within the domain, and “1” refers to the standard number. When a standard includes parts, these will be referred to as “a,” “b,” and so forth.



The Common Core Standards for Mathematical Practice

The Common Core Standards for Mathematical Practice describe eight habits of mind teachers must incorporate in classroom instruction to help students develop a depth of understanding of critical mathematical concepts. The mathematical practices are not intended to be taught in isolation but should be integrated into instruction on a daily basis. These standards exemplify the type of mathematical thinking in which students should be engaged as they are developing mathematical understanding. The mathematical practices are not meant to be explicitly taught in isolation from important content; teachers, however, may want to highlight how they were used in a particular problem or context. While some lessons may particularly incorporate focus on one or two of the math practices, others lessons may incorporate a number of these standards.

Throughout the following chapters, examples of the mathematical practices intended to be used are included in each cluster. The listed practices are not meant to limit lessons by using only those practices but provide examples of the key practices that can be included in lessons around that particular cluster. It is likely that teachers will address all of the practices at some point throughout each cluster and domain.

The eight practices, briefly explained here, are essential for student success. If students are actively engaged in using these eight practices, they are learning rigorous, meaningful mathematics.

SFMP 1. Make Sense of Problems and Persevere in Solving Them.

High school students should work to understand what a problem is asking, choose a strategy to find a solution, and check the answer to make sure it makes sense. When unable to immediately identify a strategy that will work or when their selected strategy does not work as intended, they must learn to persist in trying a range of potential approaches, looking for how the current problem may relate to previous work they have done. Solving problems is the essence of mathematical work.

SFMP 2. Reason Abstractly and Quantitatively.

High school students need to make sense of quantities and their relationships in problem situations. Particular attention to units associated with quantities is essential to understanding how the quantities are related. For example, a linear function relating the distance traveled to time needs to specify the units, such as feet and seconds. The slope of that function then gives the rate of change (velocity) given in feet per second. Students should consistently consider the reasonableness of their answer within the context of the problem; if a new car is found to cost \$10, the model used to find that answer should be questioned.

SFMP 3. Construct Viable Arguments and Critique the Reasoning of Others.

High school students are increasingly expected to make formal mathematical arguments based on stated assumptions or properties, well-defined definitions, and previously established results. While this has traditionally focused on *writing proofs* related to

geometry, careful attention to the reasoning underlying mathematical procedures or statements should be incorporated across the curriculum, and students should be increasingly expected to make formal arguments as they progress through the high school grades. Moreover, experience with critiquing the arguments produced by classmates is essential to their mathematical development. Reasoning undergirds deep conceptual understanding.

SFMP 4. Model With Mathematics.

High school students need to learn to use mathematics to address problems in everyday life, society, and the workplace. This should occur at a range of levels, from more specific application of mathematical ideas to full-scale mathematical modeling, as described in Section 2.

SFMP 5. Use Appropriate Tools Strategically.


High school students need to be comfortable in using applicable tools when solving a mathematics problem. A range of technological tools should be available, including graphing calculators and software, computer algebra systems, spreadsheets, dynamic geometry software, and statistical software. Although some view them as less necessary for older students, physical manipulatives, such as algebra tiles and geometric models, can be very useful for many, if not all, students and should be incorporated into the classroom. In addition, students should be comfortable using paper-and-pencil representations, such as tables, graphs, and other visual representations.

SFMP 6. Attend to Precision.

High school students need to learn to communicate effectively with others, using precise vocabulary. They should use symbols to represent their thinking, clearly describing the meaning of those symbols. As stated in the Common Core State Standards, “By the time they reach high school they have learned to examine claims and make explicit use of definitions.” They also need to be able to express the precision of the answers they give in real-world contexts, based on the accuracy of the given information; using all the digits on the calculator display of an answer is very likely not appropriate.

SFMP 7. Look for and Make Use of Structure.

High school students examine mathematical situations in order to detect a pattern or structure that may provide further insight. For example, as stated in the Common Core Standards, “They can see $5 - 3(x - y)^2$ as 5 minus a positive number times a square and use that to realize that its value cannot be more than 5 for any real numbers x and y .” Likewise, drawing an auxiliary line in a geometric figure may help them to better understand the structure behind a situation. For example, drawing the diagonals of an isosceles trapezoid creates two overlapping triangles ABC and BCA as shown in the following figure; if we can demonstrate their congruence, other properties of the figure will become apparent.



SFMP 8. Look for and Express Regularity in Repeated Reasoning.

High school students notice patterns in calculations that are performed in order to form generalizations, as well as shortcuts, for calculation. For example, as shown in the Common Core Standards, high school students may note that when multiplying expressions of the form $(x - 1)(x + 1)$, $(x - 1)(x^2 + x + 1)$, $(x - 1)(x^3 + x^2 + x + 1)$, and so forth, all but the leading and constant terms cancel; this could lead to a general formula for geometric series.

Effective Mathematical Teaching Practices

Quality mathematics teaching is a critical key for student success. In *Principles to Actions* (2014), the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics outlined eight effective mathematical teaching practices that teachers should incorporate to help their students achieve both the Content Standards and the Standards for Mathematical Practice described in the Common Core State Standards. These eight research-informed practices are briefly explained as follows.

1. Establish mathematics goals to focus learning.

Effective mathematics teaching begins with the establishment of clear goals of what mathematics students should know and be able to do. These goals are grounded in the trajectory of the standards being addressed in a lesson, series of lessons, and an overall unit. Teachers must keep in mind what is to be learned, why the goal is important, and where students need to go, as well as how learning can be extended. Students must clearly understand the purpose of the lesson beyond repeating the standard.

2. Implement tasks that promote reasoning and problem solving.

Implementing tasks that promote reasoning and problem solving provides opportunities for students to explore mathematics in ways that build upon their existing understanding. Rather than providing straightforward solution strategies, tasks should provide students with multiple entry points to their solution. Students should be encouraged to use a variety of approaches and strategies while maintaining a high level of student engagement and cognitive demand.

3. Use and connect mathematical representations.

Teachers should support students in developing facility with a range of mathematical representations and to then select which they find most useful in a given situation. Moreover, they should help students develop connections among representations of a problem and to focus on the essential features of the problem. Developing these representations cannot be rushed, and adequate time has to be provided for students to use, discuss, and make connections among representations.

4. Facilitate meaningful mathematical discourse.

Teachers should engage students in productive discussions about how they solved a problem, including the reasoning and representations that they used. A classroom environment that positions discussions of student thinking as central is essential. Moreover, teachers need to carefully orchestrate the discourse so that progress is made toward the mathematical goals of the lesson.

5. Pose purposeful questions.

Teacher questioning is central in advancing students' mathematical understanding. Rather than focusing on low-level questions that require factual responses, teachers should strive to use open-ended questions that promote depth of the students' thinking. Moreover, teachers should avoid "funneling" students to certain desired answers, instead asking questions that focus on making student thinking visible. Providing adequate wait time is essential.

6. Build procedural fluency from conceptual understanding.

Many well-meaning teachers provide lessons that promote students' conceptual understanding, but then have their students work on developing procedural skill without connection to their conceptual understanding. The result is that the conceptual understanding may be seen by students as irrelevant. Moreover, students cannot easily access their conceptual understanding when unable to recall procedural skills. True procedural fluency is built on and connected to deep conceptual understanding.

7. Support productive struggle in learning mathematics.

All too often, students feel that success in mathematics is tied to how quickly they can find the answer to a problem, and when the method to find an answer is not readily apparent, they may quickly give up. Teachers need to actively encourage their students to persevere in solving problems, giving them adequate time to struggle rather than providing cues or hints that undercut their persistence. Teachers need to help their students see that effort is an essential component in mathematical success and that there is more to mathematics than finding answers.

8. Elicit and use evidence of student thinking.

Eliciting and using evidence of student thinking helps teachers assess their students' progress in reaching their mathematical goals and to adjust instruction as needed to support their students' learning. Informal assessments can provide valuable information, including observations of student discussions, brief interviews with specific students, exit slips asking students to summarize their current thinking, and journal writing.

How to Use This Book

The overall goal of this book is to help teachers more deeply understand the mathematical meaning behind the high school standards, including a general understanding of the domains within each of the conceptual categories, as well as more specific understanding of the clusters and the standards within those domains. The intent of the resource is to use it as your personal toolkit for teaching of your mathematics standards. Blank space on numerous pages has been left for you to take notes, add ideas, and reference other resources that may be helpful.

The book is organized by the conceptual categories described in the high school standards. Each of these sections begins with a general description, along with suggested materials and overarching vocabulary. This is followed by a general discussion of each domain within the conceptual category, including key vocabulary organized by domain. The discussion of each domain continues with a description of each cluster within the domain, and how the Standards for Mathematical Practice can be incorporated into your teaching of the cluster follows. Because the standards are intentionally designed to connect within and across domains and grade levels, a list of related standards is included in the cluster overview. As you prepare work on a cluster, we suggest you look at these standards to have a better idea of the mathematics students learned in previous grades and where they are going in the future. A list of all of the standards is found in the Quick Reference Guide at the beginning of the book.

Each standard within a cluster is explained with a section called *What the TEACHER does* followed by a description of *What the STUDENTS do*. It is important to note that most standards will take several days, and you should be connecting conceptual understandings across standards and domains as you teach for understanding. Addressing student misconceptions and common errors in developing student understanding of a concept concludes the contents for each standard.

At the end of each grade-level domain, you will find a sample planning page based on one standard for that domain.

In the resource section, you will find reproducible key materials. These are designed to be samples, and we encourage you to use them or redesign them to best meet the needs of your students. Also included is a planning page template for you to duplicate and use for planning. You will find downloadable versions of the reproducibles at resources.corwin.com/mathematicscanpanion9-12. Finally, you'll find a list of our favorite resource books and high-quality online resources that are particularly useful for developing mathematical ideas in high school.

We believe that this can become your Common Core bible. Read it, and mark it with questions, comments, and ideas. We hope that this resource will help you use these standards and good teaching practices to lay the essential foundation that will ensure your students' success not only in your grade but in all of their future study of mathematics.

Reflection Questions

1. How are the three instructional shifts called for by the Common Core similar to your current instructional practice?
 - What is conceptual understanding?
 - How is it different from procedural skills?
 - What do you need to consider to teach for conceptual understanding?
 - How can you connect conceptual understanding to help students develop procedural skills?

2. The Standards for Mathematical Practice describe the habits of mind that students need for thinking about and doing mathematics. While not every practice will be in every lesson, select one standard at your grade level, and consider some ways you can incorporate these practices in a lesson for that standard.
 - How will these practices provide you with information about student understanding?
 - How will this help you to better assess students?
 - How will this information help you in planning lessons?

3. The Effective Teaching Practices describe specific actions that teachers must consider in planning and implementing lessons and assessing student performance.
 - How are the practices connected? Work with colleagues to plan a lesson that employs all of these practices.
 - How can you modify a traditional task so that it promotes reasoning and problem solving?
 - What representations will help students more deeply understand the concept?
 - How will you connect conceptual understanding to build procedural fluency?
 - What kinds of information will you look for to help inform your instruction?

(For more information on the Effective Teaching Practices, go to www.nctm.org.)