

Kindling the Fire: Why I Do

I have no difficulty imagining an anthology of the most beautiful pieces of world poetry where there would be also a place for the Pythagorean theorem; in it there is that illumination that is inherent to great poetry, and a form carefully reduced to the most essential terms, and a grace that is not granted to all poets.

(Wisława Szymborska, Polish poet, Nobel Prize in Literature 1996, in *Nonrequired Reading*)

The more I reflect about my journey as a teacher, the more I realize how many people and events have inspired, guided, and shaped my experience—a finely woven web of connections of which I can start to unravel just a few strands, and just barely. Yet all of these converge to explain where I am now and why I do what I do: teach mathematics in an all-girls school.

I am a third-generation teacher. My maternal grandmother was a teacher in a one-room school that served several villages in a hilly region of the central part of Italy. Simply called *la maestra*, she was “the teacher” for quite a number of children and families over a long span of time.

My mother taught mathematics and science in public middle school, and I can still picture her in the early morning hours checking her students’ papers with a red pen in one hand and a cup of steaming espresso in the other. Year after year, her first two weeks of summer always involved spending huge amounts of time with a very large sheet of paper, constructing the schedule for the entire school for the following academic year. She

This author tries to teach young women to break out of the stereotypes and understand, master, and enjoy the relevance, power, and beauty of mathematics to the fullest.

Alessandra King



What I Do

was not paid for this extra duty: After all, this was just what a math teacher would do for her school. And she cared deeply about her students—once we even took one into our home for several months, until his grandparents could step up and take him in, and we kept in touch with him until he graduated, found a job, and got married. And so I grew up in the “shop” of master craftswomen and could observe firsthand the fundamental tools of the most important, most uniquely human of all crafts. Teaching, I found out, is a little bit like wine making, in that it has some important methods and best practices but no hard, set recipe; it depends on so many imponderables, just as wine making depends on the weather, the crop, the soil, and the water.

I was blessed with a succession of very good teachers, and more than a few exceptional ones, each extraordinary in his or her unique way. There was Suor Pierina, who made skip counting so much fun and asked us to write mathematics answers in sentences and keep our work neat, structured, and looking good, like a work of art. Mr. Branchesi, a boisterous professional painter and children’s enthusiast, made each day of school a joyful intellectual adventure and never required us to memorize the multiplication tables. To this day, it is a mystery to me how and when I learned them—perhaps by pattern recognition, mental math, and frequent use? All I can say is that I knew them when I needed them. Mr. Castagnari was a wiry, reserved man for whom teaching math was serious business and whose faith in our ability to learn was so steady and deep-seated that he boosted our confidence and pushed us to excel in those critical middle school years. Mrs. Galdenzi, a southerner with a strong

Neapolitan accent, taught math in a Milanese school. Her content knowledge, huge heart, and impressive teaching abilities won everybody over, starting with her students. Ms. Santagata, our high school math and physics teacher, ended each and every day of school covered in chalk dust from head to toe. Her precise language; high expectations of abstract reasoning; and profound, multifaceted, open-ended questions astound me to this day. Each of these individuals, and the others I do not have time to mention here, embodied and modeled one or more aspects of great math teaching. They gave the gift of inspiring “joy and wonder” (NCTM 2018) with passion for their subject, dedication to their profession and their students, creativity and playfulness, deep and thoughtful content knowledge, ability to tell a story, and pedagogical suppleness.

Teaching and learning—and specifically mathematics teaching and learning—is “part of a complex system of . . . traditions and societal expectations” (NCTM 2018). Not until I moved out of my sheltered experience and went to live and teach math and physics overseas, in the Philippines first, and then in the United States, did I discover that girls are not supposed to be that good at mathematics. This realization came as a surprise, as math had always been my favorite subject, and consequently I had always done well in it. In my family, academic expectations were the same for the daughters as for the son. I had not noticed any difference in my school years. When I was a physics major in my hometown college, many (although not most) of the students in my mathematics and physics classes were women. My thesis adviser was also a woman; I had asked her to advise me because she was the only professor who studied extragalactic active nuclei, not because she was a woman. And I am sure she accepted me because I was a fair bet for a paper. As far as I knew, success in mathematics depended on the individual’s personal talents, not his or her gender.

Boy, was I wrong. The news was finally delivered in full by the new Barbie™ doll who declared in no uncertain terms, “I hate math.” At that time I had two daughters, a toddler and a baby, and decided on the spot to ban Barbie from our house. The embargo caused many a conver-

sation and some arguments—with my daughters, their young friends, and their parents—and more than a few awkward moments. And it was ferociously applied for many years, until the high cost of its enforcement in time and effort made it, like all embargos, untenable.

That was not the only way we fought what later became known as the “stereotype threat” (Steele 2011). We built Lego® structures, snow forts, and marble sand tracks; played Blokus® and Mastermind®; looked for patterns in the maps of the cities we visited; rode the simulator and touched the moon rock at the National Air and Space Museum; and raised tadpoles in a bucket. We sorted, counted, and classified; transformed recipes to feed our growing family; admired the symmetry and proportions of paintings, monuments, and architectural landmarks; saw interesting buildings as complex shapes; recognized patterns and created new ones as we learned to quilt; measured, calculated and converted three-dimensional (3-D) objects in 2-D when we tried sewing. And with the inspiration and guidance of some phenomenal mathematics and science teachers (Lappin-Scott 2017), my daughters created budgets with their future first salary, played the stock market, designed and tested rollercoasters, assembled a Foucault pendulum (a sand-filled soda bottle hanging from the ceiling of our garage), and built their dream school with plywood and Styrofoam® in their geometry class. No wonder one of them, in her application to a magnet high school, wrote how much fun she had in third grade when she “first learned how to add, subtract, multiply, and divide fractions.” I cannot wait to play some math with my soon-to-be-born granddaughter!

The Barbie doll incident was just the tip of the iceberg. The environment in which our girls grow and learn mathematics can affect the way they see themselves (Boaler 2015) as students of mathematics (or of any hard science, such as physics, often called the gateway for STEM careers). Although we have made progress, the same condescending attitude toward women in math, science, and technology resurfaces every now and then, in unexpected places or occasions. It appeared when my daughter, one of only two girls in her fully enrolled Advanced Placement Physics class, heard her class addressed as



“Gentlemen.” Or when, as a mathematics major in college, she was asked again and again if she intended to go into math education. That question was never asked of her male classmates. It reemerges in the far too common habit of steering our girls to dad for help with the math homework. It materializes in many a conversation when too many women publicly state, “I was always bad at math,” (Eccles and Jacobs 1986) whereas an equivalent statement about reading would hardly be socially acceptable. It shows in “the soft bigotry of low expectations” (Bush 2000) with which sometimes we as educators are tempted to lower the standards—even unintentionally—to make our girls “feel good” about their mathematical achievement.

Girls do not need extra help to learn and enjoy mathematics. They need only a level playing field and a culture that, instead of subtly undermining their confidence, bolsters their efforts. We have made and are continuing to make progress, slowly but surely. The movie *Hidden Figures* (Shetterly 2016) sends a very different message and presents inspiring female role models engaged in great mathematics and exciting work. In the last few years some children’s books on women mathematicians, such as Sophie Germain, Ada Lovelace, and Hypatia, have been published, and there is an effort to make more gender-neutral STEM toys. And the world also celebrates mathematics in the work of Maryam Mirzakhani, the doodles of Vi Hart, the books of Eugenia Cheng, and the talks of Hannah Fry.

As for teaching mathematics, I take my cue from a statement attributed to the poet de Saint-Exupéry:

If you want to build a ship, don’t drum up people to collect wood and don’t assign them tasks . . . ; rather, teach them to long for the endless immensity of the sea.

To me this means seizing all opportunities to engage my girls with the beauty, excitement, and “unreasonable effectiveness of mathematics” (Wigner 1960). And so we collaborate on interdisciplinary projects (King 2014c, d, e, f, h; 2015a, d; 2016; 2017d; 2018a), challenging problem-solving tasks, and hands-on activities. We explore unusual, intriguing topics like fractals and taxicab geometry (King 2014a, g). We work together on Fermi questions (King 2014b, 2015c); we celebrate numbers any time possible; we play Sudoku, 2048, and FlowFree (King 2017c). We contemplate the Pythagorean theorem and its many extensions and connections, sharing its story all the way to Fermat’s Last theorem. We develop the quadratic formula the historical way, as an extension of completing the square and pro-

gram our calculators to do it for us. We place the concepts we study within the rich tapestry of the history of mathematics, which helps us realize that such concepts were developed through years and sometimes centuries of hard work, partial success, sacrifices, trials, excitement, adversities, and delight; and at the same time appreciate that we are taking part in one of the most creative human endeavors (King 2013; 2017a, b). We use Instagram to highlight the mathematics around us (King 2018c); we blog about contemporary women mathematicians and their work on International Women’s Day (Algebra 2 Class 2018); and we write about the innumerable applications of math in our daily life (Algebra Classes 2018). We connect concepts, ideas, theories, and models; solving problems in a variety of ways and rejoicing in the diversity of our thinking processes; we organize the Problem of the Cycle (King 2015b) and the Math Squad; and we go on math trails (King 2018b).

I expect my girls—whether my daughters or my students—to tackle challenging problems and be creative problem solvers. I expect them to face engineering projects, solve puzzles, and read articles and magazines related to math. I expect them to compete in math meets. I expect them to talk about math, ask questions, and take intellectual risks. I expect them to work hard, persevere, and learn from the mistakes they may make. I expect them to enjoy math, to be successful in math class, and to carry their quantitative thinking for life. I expect that because I. Know. They. Can.

REFERENCES

- Algebra Classes, Holton Arms. 2018. “Mathematics Applications.” *LucidPress*, www.lucidpress.com/documents/edit/ed3942b2-e90f-47bb-8a45-76c14a8f57f5
- Algebra 2 Class, Holton-Arms. 2018. “Celebrating Women in Mathematics.” *Celebrating Women in Mathematics*, March, womeninmath.edublogs.org/
- Boaler, Jo. 2015. *Mathematical Mindsets: Unleashing Students’ Potential through Creative Math, Inspiring Messages and Innovative Teaching*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Bush, George W. 2000. “George W. Bush Speech to the NAACP.” *The Washington Post*, July 10. www.washingtonpost.com/wp-srv/onpolitics/elections/bushtext071000.htm
- Eccles, Jacquelynne S., and Janis E. Jacobs. 1986. “Social Forces Shape Math Attitudes and Performance.” *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society* 11, no. 2 (Winter): 367–80. <https://www.edutopia.org/article/building-sense-community-math>
- Alessandra King. 2013. “Mathematical Explorations: Finding Pi with Archimedes’ Exhaustion Method.”

The environment in which our girls grow and learn mathematics can affect the way they see themselves.

To learn and enjoy mathematics, [girls] need only a level playing field and a culture that . . . bolsters their efforts.

- Mathematics Teaching in the Middle School* 19, no. 2 (September): 116–23.
- Alessandra King. 2014a. “Enjoying Math in the Middle Grades” (blog), *MTMS Blog: Blogarithm*, December 8. <https://www.nctm.org/Publications/Mathematics-Teaching-in-Middle-School/Blog/Enjoying-Math-in-the-Middle-Grades/>
- . 2014b. “Fermi Questions—A STEM Activity for Middle School” (blog), *Journal of The Association of Independent Maryland and DC Schools (JAIDS)*, November 12. <https://aimsmddc.wordpress.com/2014/11/12/fermi-questions-a-stem-activity-for-middle-school/>
- . 2014c. “Math for Real: Space and Time.” *Mathematics Teaching in the Middle School* 20, no. 2 (September): 128–30.
- . 2014d. “Math for Real: The Top Speed for Humans.” *Mathematics Teaching in the Middle School* 20, no. 1 (August): 64–66.
- . 2014e. “Mathematical Explorations: Freshwater Scarcity: A Proportional Representation.” *Mathematics Teaching in the Middle School* 20, no. 3 (October): 178–84.
- . 2014f. “The Perpendicular Bisector: A Closer Look.” *The Australian Mathematics Teacher* 70 (3): 36–39.
- . 2014g. “Teamwork and Diversity” (blog), *MTMS Blog: Blogarithm*, December 12. <https://www.nctm.org/Publications/Mathematics-Teaching-in-Middle-School/Blog/Teamwork-and-Diversity/>
- . 2014h. “Quick Reads: MathMania: A Middle School Puzzle Book.” *Mathematics Teaching in the Middle School* 19, no. 7 (March): 438–42.
- . 2015a. “Mathematical Explorations: Leafing through Irregular Shapes.” *Mathematics Teaching in the Middle School* 21, no. 1 (August): 53–60.
- . 2015b. “Quick Reads: A Historical Twist on Problems of the Week.” *Mathematics Teaching in the Middle School* 21, no. 3 (October): 176–79.
- . 2015c. “Making Sense with Fermi Questions” (blog), *MTMS Blog: Blogarithm*, January 5. <https://www.nctm.org/Publications/Mathematics-Teaching-in-Middle-School/Blog/Making-Sense-with-Fermi-Problems/>
- . 2015d. “Math for Real: Ramp It Up.” *Mathematics Teaching in the Middle School* 21, no. 5 (December): 320–22.
- . 2016. “Movies and Math Help Make Global Connections.” *AMLE Magazine*, November. <http://www.amle.org/BrowsebyTopic/WhatsNew/WNDet.aspx?ArtMID=888&ArticleID=752>
- . 2017a. “A Brief History of Numerical Systems—Alessandra King.” *Lessons Worth Sharing | TED-Ed Animations*, January. <https://ed.ted.com/lessons/a-brief-history-of-numerical-systems-alessandra-king>
- . 2017b. “A Brief History of Banned Numbers—Alessandra King.” *Lessons Worth Sharing | TED-Ed Animations*, September. ed.ted.com/lessons/a-brief-history-of-banned-numbers-alessandra-king
- . 2017c. “Building a Sense of Community—with Math.” *Edutopia*, October 13. <https://www.edutopia.org/article/building-sense-community-math>
- . 2017d. “Understanding the Election Process through Math in Middle School.” *Association for Middle Level Education (AMLE)*. www.amle.org/BrowsebyTopic/WhatsNew/WNDet/TabId/270/ArtMID/888/ArticleID/706/Understanding-the-Election-Process-through-Math-in-Middle-School.aspx
- . 2018a. “Integrating Global Education in the Middle School Math Classroom.” *AMLE Magazine* 6, no. 1 (February): 18–20. <http://www.amle.org/BrowsebyTopic/WhatsNew/WNDet.aspx?ArtMID=888&ArticleID=893>
- . 2018b. “Finding the Beauty of Math Outside of Class.” *Edutopia*, January 9. <https://www.edutopia.org/article/finding-beauty-math-outside-class>
- . 2018c. “Instagram for Maths.” *Mathematics in School* 47, no. 4 (September): 25–29.
- Lappin-Scott, Hilary. 2017. “To Get More Women in STEM Little Girls Need Better Role Models.” *The Conversation*, February 3. theconversation.com/to-get-more-women-in-stem-little-girls-need-better-role-models-70763
- Lee Shetterly, Margot. 2016. *Hidden Figures: The American Dream and the Untold Story of the Black Women Mathematicians Who Helped Win the Space Race* (DVD, 127 min.). Directed by Theodore Melfi.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM). 2018. *Catalyzing Change in High School Mathematics: Initiating Critical Conversations*. Reston, VA: NCTM.
- Steele, Claude. 2011. *Whistling Vivaldi: and Other Clues to How Stereotypes Affect Us*. New York: W.W. Norton and Company.
- Szymborska, Wislawa, and Clare Cavanagh. 2007. *Nonrequired Reading*. New York: Harcourt.
- Wigner, E. P. 1960. “The Unreasonable Effectiveness of Mathematics in the Natural Sciences.” Richard Courant lecture in mathematical sciences delivered at New York University, May 11, 1959. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics* 13:1–14.



ALESSANDRA KING, Alessandra.King@holton-arms.edu, explores mathematics with her students at the Holton-Arms School in Bethesda, Maryland. An astrophysicist by training, she has taught physics and mathematics at the middle and high school levels on three continents—in Milano (Italy), Manila (Philippines), and the greater Washington, D.C. area. She particularly enjoys creative problem solving and reading about the history of mathematics.

The NCTM Mathematics Education Trust channels the generosity of contributors through the creation and funding of grants, awards, honors, and other projects that support the improvement of mathematics teaching and learning.

Did you know? As a member of NCTM, you have access to grants and awards to enhance your mathematics teaching and learning. The Mathematics Education Trust (MET) provides funding opportunities to focus on classroom action research, projects that engage students in learning mathematics, professional development, and graduate study to improve teaching skills and classroom practice.

Begin your search at www.nctm.org/met, where you will find current grants and awards grouped by grades pre-K–5, 6–8, 9–12, and more. Click on any title to see a description of the award or grant, comments from a previous awardee, and eligibility and proposal requirements. The following are examples of MET awards:

- **Future Leaders Initial NCTM Annual Meeting Attendance Awards:** Grants of up to \$1,200 plus meeting registration provide for travel, subsistence expenses, and substitute teacher costs of members who are classroom teachers and have never attended an NCTM annual meeting.
- **School In-Service Training Grants:** Elementary, middle, or high schools receive up to \$4,000 for support of in-service mathematics programs.
- **Mathematics Coursework Scholarships:** Scholarships of up to \$2,000 are awarded to classroom teachers working to pursue courses to improve their mathematics content knowledge.
- **Pre-K–6 Classroom Research Grants:** Awards of up to \$6,000 support collaborative classroom-based action research in precollege mathematics education involving college or university mathematics educators.
- **Engaging Students in Learning Mathematics Grants:** Awards of up to \$3,000 are given to grades 6–8 classroom teachers to incorporate creative use of materials to actively engage students in tasks and experiences designed to deepen and connect their mathematics content knowledge.
- **Connecting Mathematics to Other Subject Area Grants:** Awards of up to \$4,000 are awarded to grades 9–12 classroom teachers to develop classroom materials or lessons connecting mathematics to other disciplines or careers.

A proposal to the Mathematics Education Trust is typically no longer than five pages. Two deadlines occur per year: the first week of May and the first week of November. The MET Board of Trustees reads proposals and notifies awardees by letter in July and February.

The MET Board of Trustees strives to distribute all awards in each funding cycle. Some funds go unused because applications are not received for all grants each year. Take advantage of this opportunity to obtain funding for you or your school. Visit the website on a regular basis to check for updates.

The MET also accepts donations and is always looking to establish new grants and awards. MET is an asset of NCTM and can be an asset for you.