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Collaborative Team Illustration

The following example shows the potential of a collaborative teaching team to support teachers’ continuing professional growth and deepen students’ learning and understanding of mathematics:

A collaborative learning team of seventh-grade teachers is meeting during the teachers’ biweekly mathematics planning time after school. Although the team does not have release time for professional learning community work, the teachers have made a commitment to meet every other week after school to collaboratively plan mathematics lessons, reflect on their effectiveness, and work to improve their own understanding of mathematics and mathematics pedagogy. This is the fifth in a series of meetings that the team has dedicated to planning lessons on proportional relationships and reasoning.

The teachers selected this topic as one of five to make the focus of in-depth planning this year. They based their selection of proportional relationships and reasoning on student performance in previous years and the challenges that they have experienced in teaching this topic in the past. The teachers have hypothesized that students do not understand the underlying concepts and rely on rote procedures (e.g., cross-multiplication) and that this lack of understanding contributes to their difficulty in recognizing proportional relationships and in extending these ideas in their study of algebra. The team has recognized that to deepen their students’ understanding, they too need to develop a deeper understanding of the concepts.

To deepen their own understanding of the mathematics underlying this topic, the teachers decided at the beginning of the year to read Developing Essential Understanding of Ratios, Proportions, and Proportional Reasoning for Teaching Mathematics: Grades 6-8 (Lobato et al, 2010), Putting Essential Understanding of Ratios and Proportions into Practice in Grades 6 – 8 (Olson et al, 2015), and the CCSS-M Progression Ratios and Proportional Relationships, Grade 6-7 (2011). At their second and third meetings, the team members discussed the books and the progression document.

This reading and the resulting discussion deepened the teachers’ understanding of proportional relationships and reasoning, and sparked a constructive discussion that extended over the fourth session and now shapes the fifth session, where the teachers are considering new instructional tasks, representations, and discussion prompts that they can use in two ways: to engage students in developing an understanding of unit rates and scale factors and how to use them to solve proportion problems, and to check to be sure that students are developing this understanding as the lesson unfolds.

By the time the team members finish their fifth meeting on this topic, they have written an in-depth lesson plan (six pages in length) to introduce unit rates and scale factors, including tasks and examples, key questions, anticipated student responses and their own replies, guided practice tasks, summary questions, academic language required in the lesson, adaptations for English language learners and students with disabilities, and formative assessment tasks that will help them determine whether students have made progress toward the established mathematics learning goals.

The members of the team commit to using the collaboratively planned lesson with their students, and they agree to come together to watch a video of one team member teaching the lesson at their next meeting. The team plans to devote its next meeting to discussing the effectiveness of the collaboratively designed lesson, which they will evaluate on the basis of student performance so that they can both plan necessary responses to student learning and refine the lesson, improving it for future use.

1 Adapted from Principles to Actions: Ensuring Mathematical Success for All, NCTM, 2014, pp. 106-107.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teacher role</th>
<th>Questioning</th>
<th>Explaining mathematical thinking</th>
<th>Mathematical representations</th>
<th>Building student responsibility within the community</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 0</strong></td>
<td>Teacher is only questioner. Questions serve to keep students listening to teacher. Students give short answers and respond to teacher only.</td>
<td>Teacher questions focus on correctness. Students provide short answer-focused responses. Teacher may give answers.</td>
<td>Representations are missing, or teacher shows them to students.</td>
<td>Culture supports students keeping ideas to themselves or just providing answers when asked.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 1</strong></td>
<td>Teacher encourages the sharing of math ideas and directs speaker to talk to the class, not to the teacher only.</td>
<td>Teacher probes student thinking somewhat. One or two strategies may be elicited. Teacher may fill in an explanation. Students provide brief descriptions of their thinking in response to teacher probing.</td>
<td>Students learn to create math drawings to depict their mathematical thinking.</td>
<td>Students believe that their ideas are accepted by the classroom community. They begin to listen to one another supportively and to restate in their own words what another student has said.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 2</strong></td>
<td>Teacher facilitates conversation between students, and encourages students to ask questions of one another.</td>
<td>Teacher probes more deeply to learn about student thinking. Teacher elicits multiple strategies. Students respond to teacher probing and volunteer their thinking. Students begin to defend their answers.</td>
<td>Students label their math drawings so that others are able to follow their mathematical thinking.</td>
<td>Students believe that they are math learners and that their ideas and the ideas of their classmates are important. They listen actively so that they can contribute significantly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 3</strong></td>
<td>Students carry the conversation themselves. Teacher only guides from the periphery of the conversation. Teacher waits for students to clarify thinking of others.</td>
<td>Student-to-student talk is student-initiated. Students ask questions and listen to responses. Many questions ask “why” and call for justification. Teacher questions may still guide discourse.</td>
<td>Teacher follows student explanations closely. Teacher asks students to contrast strategies. Students defend and justify their answers with little prompting from the teacher.</td>
<td>Students believe that they are math leaders and can help shape the thinking of others. They help shape others’ math thinking in supportive, collegial ways and accept the same support from others.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 11. Levels of classroom discourse. From Hufford-Ackles, Fuson, and Sherin (2014), table 1.
## Assessment Instrument Quality—Evaluation Tool

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Indicators</th>
<th>Description of Level 1</th>
<th>Requirements of the Indicator Are Not Present</th>
<th>Limited Requirements of This Indicator Are Present</th>
<th>Substantially Meets the Requirements of the Indicator</th>
<th>Fully Achieves the Requirements of the Indicator</th>
<th>Description of Level 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learning targets are given appropriate emphasis.</td>
<td>Too much attention on one or two targets or on less important targets; number of points does not reflect importance.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>The most important learning targets receive the most emphasis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance of procedural fluency and demonstration of understanding</td>
<td>Test is not “rigor” balanced. Emphasis is on procedural knowledge and minimal cognitive demand for demonstrating understanding.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Test is balanced with product- and process-level questions. Higher cognitive demand and understanding tasks are present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question phrasing (precision)</td>
<td>Wording is vague or misleading. Vocabulary and precision of language is a struggle for student understanding.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Vocabulary is direct, fair and clearly understood. Students are expected to attend to precision in responses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Format and design of assessment tasks support valid inferences about students’ knowledge</td>
<td>Assessment contains items that may give misleading information about students’ knowledge. Calculator usage not clear.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Assessment tasks support valid inferences and may include a variety of question types and formats to do so.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarity of directions</td>
<td>Directions are missing or unclear.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Directions are appropriate and clear.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual presentation</td>
<td>Assessment instrument is sloppy, disorganized, difficult to read, and offers no room for work.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Assessment instrument is neat, organized, easy to read, and well-spaced, with room for student work and teacher feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time allotment</td>
<td>Few students can complete the assessment in the time allowed</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Test can be successfully completed in time allowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Format and design promotes students’ taking responsibility for their own learning.</td>
<td>Learning targets are unclear; students not expected to analyze their performance.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Learning targets are clear and connected to the assessment questions, either on the test or another sheet.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Integers Test — Final

Give the integer suggested by the statement.

1. a loss of $10 ________
2. a surplus of $250 ________
3. fifty dollars lost ________
4. 600m above sea level ________
5. 15˚C below zero. ________

Use the appropriate sign ( > = < ) to make the statement true.

1. \(-7(\ )-9\) 2. \(-6(\ )-8\) 3. \(0(\ )-3\) 4. \(+8(\ )8\)

Put the following in order from least to greatest.

1. \(+4, -8, -1, +6, -1\) 2. \(+3, -4, +1, -10, +5\)

Add.

1. \((-6) + (-3)\) = 2. \((-2) + (+3)\) = 3. \((+1) + (-7)\) = 4. \((+5) + (-5)\) =
5. \((+12) + (-8)\) = 6. \((+6) + (-9)\) =

Subtract.

1. \((+3) - (+7)\) = 2. \((0) - (-7)\) = 3. \((0) - (+6)\) = 4. \((-4) - (-5)\) =
5. \((+2) - (-3)\) = 6. \((-14) - (+3)\) =

Evaluate.

1. \((-4) + (-6) - (-12)\) = 2. \((+2) + (-7) - (+1)\) =
3. \((+3) - (+5) - (-7)\) = 4. \((-14) - (-9) + (+6) - (-5)\) =
5. \((+2) + (-6) + (-4) - (-3) + (+4)\) = 6. \((-3) + (-2) + (+4) - (-7) + (+4)\) =
Integers Test — Final

Multiply.
1. \((-6) \times (-3) = \)  2. \((-2) \times (+3) = \)  3. \((+1) \times (-7) = \)
4. \((+5) \times (-5) = \)  5. \((+12) + (+8) = \)  6. \((-3) + (-9) = \)

Divide.
1. \((-6) \div (-3) = \)  2. \((-12) \div (+3) = \)  3. \((+10) \div (-5) = \)
4. \((+25) \div (+5) = \)  5. \((+24) \div (-8) = \)  6. \((-27) \div (-9) = \)

Find a pair of numbers that satisfies the following conditions.
1. product of -6 — a sum of +1
2. product of +4 — a sum of -4
3. product of -10 — a sum of -3
4. product of +16 — a sum of +8

Evaluate using order of operations (BEDMAS).
1. \([(+2) + (+5)] \times -3 = \)
2. \((+20) \div [(+5) + (-1)] = \)
3. \((+3) \times (+2) + (-6) = \)
4. \([(+2) + (+8)] \times [(-3) - (+1)] = \)
5. \((+1) + (-3) \times (-3) - (+8) = \)
6. \([(+20) + (+10)]^2 = \)
7. \([(+6) - (+9)]^2 = \)
8. \([(-8) \div (+8)]^3 - (+3) = \)
Properties of Integer Addition and Subtraction

Ms. Lora is discussing properties of arithmetic with integers with students, asking them to say whether a statement is true or false and provide some reasoning to justify their conclusion.

1. For the statement "The sum of a negative integer and a positive integer is always positive." Keisha says "This is false. The sum can be positive, like 10 + -3 = 7. But, it can also be negative. For example, -9 + 3 is -6."

Is Keisha's reasoning correct? Explain why you think so.

2. For the statement "The sum of two negative integers is always negative." Mike says, "This is true. I tried lots of examples, like -3 + -2, -10 + -27, and even ones with big numbers, like -2,000 + -5,000. All the sums were negative. So this must be true."

Is Mike’s reasoning correct? Explain why you think so.

3. For the same statement "The sum of two negative integers is always negative." Dev says, “I agree with Mike that the statement is true, but I don’t think giving examples is good enough to prove that it is always true. I wonder if I could use the number line to show that when you add two negative numbers together, the sum is always negative?"

Is Dev’s reasoning correct? Explain why you think so.

How could Dev use a number line to prove that the sum of two negative integers is always negative?

4. For the statement “The difference between two negative integers is always positive.” Joey says "This is true. Just like Keisha gave an example, I see that -3 - -8 = -3 + 8 = 5, so it is true."

Is Joey's reasoning correct? Explain why you think so.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learning Goals (Residue)</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>What understandings will students take away from this lesson?</em></td>
<td><em>What will students say, do, produce, etc. that will provide evidence of their understandings?</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Instructional Support—Tools, Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>What is the main activity that students will be working on in this lesson?</em></td>
<td><em>What tools or resources will students have to use in their work that will give them entry to, and help them reason through, the activity?</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task Launch</th>
<th>Instructional Support—Teacher</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>How will you introduce and set up the task to ensure that students understand the task and can begin productive work, without diminishing the cognitive demand of the task?</em></td>
<td><em>What questions might you ask students that will support their exploration of the activity and bridge between what they did and what you want them to learn?</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be clear on what students actually did, begin by asking a set of assessing questions such as: What did you do? How did you get that? What does this mean? Once you have a clearer sense of what the student understands, move on to appropriate set of questions below.

Based on Smith, Bill, and Hughes, 2008
## Thinking Through a Lesson Protocol (TTLP) Planning Template

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sharing and Discussing the Task</th>
<th>Connecting Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Selecting and Sequencing</strong></td>
<td><strong>Connecting Responses</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Which solutions do you want to have shared during the lesson? In what order? Why?</em></td>
<td><em>What specific questions will you ask so that students make sense of the mathematical ideas that you want them to learn</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>make connections among the different strategies/solutions that are presented</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mathematical tasks that give students the opportunity to use reasoning skills while thinking are the most difficult for teachers to implement well. Research by Stein and colleagues (Henningsen and Stein 1997; Stein and Lane 1996; Stein, Grover, and Henningsen 1996) makes the case resoundingly that cognitively challenging tasks that promote thinking, reasoning, and problem solving often decline during implemen-
tation as a result of various classroom factors. When this occurs, students must apply previously learned rules and procedures with no connection to meaning or understanding, and the opportunities for thinking and reasoning are lost. Why are such tasks so difficult to implement in ways that maintain the rigor of the activity? Stein and Kim (2006, p. 11) contend that lessons based on high-level (i.e., cognitively challenging) tasks “are less intellectually ‘controllable’ from the teacher’s point of view.” They argue that since procedures for solving high-level tasks are often not specified in advance, students must draw on their relevant knowledge and experiences to find a solution path. Take, for example, the Bag of Marbles task shown in Figure 1. Using their knowledge of fractions, ratios, and percents, students can solve the task in a number of different ways:

- Determine the fraction of each bag that is blue marbles, decide which of the three fractions is largest, then select the bag with the largest fraction of blue marbles
- Determine the fraction of each bag that is blue marbles, change each fraction to a percent, then select the bag with the largest percent of blue marbles
- Determine the unit rate of red to blue marbles for each bag and decide which bag has the fewest red marbles for every 1 blue marble
- Scale up the ratios representing each bag so that the number of blue marbles in each bag is the same, then select the bag that has the fewest red marbles for the fixed number of blue marbles
- Compare bags that have the same number of blue marbles, eliminate the bag that has more red marbles, and compare the remaining two bags using one of the other methods
- Determine the difference between the number of red and blue marbles in each bag and select the bag that has the smallest difference between red and blue (not correct)

The lack of a specific solution path is an important component of what makes this task worthwhile. It also challenges teachers to understand the wide range of methods that a student might use to solve a task and think about how the different methods are related, as well as how to connect students’ diverse ways of thinking to important disciplinary ideas.

One way to both control teaching with high-level tasks and promote success is through detailed planning prior to the lesson. The remainder of this article focuses on TTLP: the Thinking Through a Lesson Protocol. TTLP is a process that is intended to further the use of cognitively challenging tasks (Smith and Stein 1998). We begin by discussing the key features of the TTLP, suggest ways in which it can be used with collaborative lesson planning, and conclude with a discussion of the potential benefits of using it.

Fig. 1 The Bag of Marbles task

Ms. Rhee’s mathematics class was studying statistics. She brought in three bags containing red and blue marbles. The three bags were labeled as shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bag</th>
<th>Marbles</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bag X</td>
<td>75 red 25 blue</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bag Y</td>
<td>40 red 20 blue</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bag Z</td>
<td>100 red 25 blue</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ms. Rhee shook each bag. She asked the class, “If you close your eyes, reach into a bag, and remove 1 marble, which bag would give you the best chance of picking a blue marble?”

Which bag would you choose?

Explain why this bag gives you the best chance of picking a blue marble. You may use the diagram above in your explanation.

EXPLORING THE LESSON PLANNING PROTOCOL

The TTLP, shown in Figure 2, provides a framework for developing lessons that use students’ mathematical thinking as the critical ingredient in developing their understanding of key disciplinary ideas. As such, it is intended to promote the type of careful and detailed planning that is characteristic of Japanese lesson study (Stigler and Hiebert 1999) by helping teachers anticipate what students will do and generate questions teachers can ask that will promote student learning prior to a lesson being taught.

The TTLP is divided into three sections: Part 1: Selecting and Setting Up a Mathematical Task, Part 2: Supporting Students’ Exploration of the Task, and Part 3: Sharing and Discussing the Task. Part 1 lays the groundwork for subsequent planning by asking the teacher to identify the mathematical goals for the lesson and set expectations regarding how students will work. The mathematical ideas to be learned through work...
on a specific task provide direction for all decision making during the lesson. The intent of the TTLP is to help teachers keep “an eye on the mathematical horizon” (Ball 1993) and never lose sight of what they are trying to accomplish mathematically. Part 2 focuses on monitoring students as they explore the task (individually or in small groups). Students are asked questions based on the solution method used to assess what they

---

**Fig. 2 Thinking Through a Lesson Protocol (TTLP)**

**PART 1: SELECTING AND SETTING UP A MATHEMATICAL TASK**

What are your mathematical goals for the lesson (i.e., what do you want students to know and understand about mathematics as a result of this lesson)?

In what ways does the task build on students’ previous knowledge, life experiences, and culture? What definitions, concepts, or ideas do students need to know to begin to work on the task? What questions will you ask to help students access their prior knowledge and relevant life and cultural experiences?

What are all the ways the task can be solved?

- Which of these methods do you think your students will use?
- What misconceptions might students have?
- What errors might students make?

What particular challenges might the task present to struggling students or students who are English Language Learners (ELL)? How will you address these challenges?

What are your expectations for students as they work on and complete this task?

- What resources or tools will students have to use in their work that will give them entry into, and help them reason through, the task?
- How will the students work—individually, in small groups, or in pairs—to explore this task? How long will they work individually or in small groups or pairs? Will students be partnered in a specific way? If so, in what way?
- How will students record and report their work?

How will you introduce students to the activity so as to provide access to all students while maintaining the cognitive demands of the task? How will you ensure that students understand the context of the problem? What will you hear that lets you know students understand what the task is asking them to do?

**PART 2: SUPPORTING STUDENTS’ EXPLORATION OF THE TASK**

As students work independently or in small groups, what questions will you ask to—

- help a group get started or make progress on the task?
- focus students’ thinking on the key mathematical ideas in the task?
- assess students’ understanding of key mathematical ideas, problem-solving strategies, or the representations?
- advance students’ understanding of the mathematical ideas?
- encourage all students to share their thinking with others or to assess their understanding of their peers’ ideas?

How will you ensure that students remain engaged in the task?

- What assistance will you give or what questions will you ask a student (or group) who becomes quickly frustrated and requests more direction and guidance in solving the task?
- What will you do if a student (or group) finishes the task almost immediately? How will you extend the task so as to provide additional challenge?
- What will you do if a student (or group) focuses on non-mathematical aspects of the activity (e.g., spends most of his or her (or their) time making a poster of their work)?

**PART 3: SHARING AND DISCUSSING THE TASK**

How will you orchestrate the class discussion so that you accomplish your mathematical goals?

- Which solution paths do you want to have shared during the class discussion? In what order will the solutions be presented? Why?
- In what ways will the order in which solutions are presented help develop students’ understanding of the mathematical ideas that are the focus of your lesson?
- What specific questions will you ask so that students will—
  1. make sense of the mathematical ideas that you want them to learn?
  2. expand on, debate, and question the solutions being shared?
  3. make connections among the different strategies that are presented?
  4. look for patterns?
  5. begin to form generalizations?

How will you ensure that, over time, each student has the opportunity to share his or her thinking and reasoning with their peers?

What will you see or hear that lets you know that all students in the class understand the mathematical ideas that you intended for them to learn?

What will you do tomorrow that will build on this lesson?
currently understand so as to move them toward the mathematical goal of the lesson. Part 3 focuses on orchestrating a whole-group discussion of the task that uses the different solution strategies produced by students to highlight the mathematical ideas that are the focus of the lesson.

**USING THE TTLP AS A TOOL FOR COLLABORATIVE PLANNING**

Many teachers’ first reaction to the TTLP may be this: “It is overwhelming; no one could use this to plan lessons every day!” It was never intended that a teacher would write out answers to all these questions everyday. Rather, teachers have used the TTLP periodically (and collaboratively) to prepare lessons so that, over time, a repertoire of carefully designed lessons grows. In addition, as teachers become more familiar with the TTLP, they begin to ask themselves questions from the protocol as they plan lessons without explicit reference to the protocol. This sentiment is echoed in the comment made by one middle school teacher: “I follow this model when planning my lessons. Certainly not to the extent of writing down this detailed lesson plan, but in my mind I go through its progression. Internalizing what it stands for really makes you a better facilitator.” Hence, the main purpose of the TTLP is to change the way that teachers think about and plan lessons. Certainly not to the extent that a teacher would write out answers to all these questions everyday. Rather, teachers have used the TTLP periodically (and collaboratively) to prepare lessons so that, over time, a repertoire of carefully designed lessons grows.

In addition, as teachers become more familiar with the TTLP, they begin to ask themselves questions from the protocol as they plan lessons without explicit reference to the protocol. This sentiment is echoed in the comment made by one middle school teacher: “I follow this model when planning my lessons. Certainly not to the extent of writing down this detailed lesson plan, but in my mind I go through its progression. Internalizing what it stands for really makes you a better facilitator.” Hence, the main purpose of the TTLP is to change the way that teachers think about and plan lessons. In the remainder of this section, we provide some suggestions on how you, the teacher, might use the TTLP as a tool to structure conversations with colleagues about teaching.

**Getting Started**

The Bag of Marbles task (shown in fig. 1) is used to ground our discussion of lesson planning. This task would be classified as high level. Since no predictable pathway is explicitly suggested or implied by the task, students must access relevant knowledge and experiences, use them appropriately while working through the task, and explain why they made a particular selection. Therefore, this task has the potential to engage students in high-level thinking and reasoning. However, it also has the greatest chance of declining during implementation in ways that limit high-level thinking and reasoning (Henningsen and Stein 1997).

You and your colleagues may want to select a high-level task from the curriculum used in your school or find a task from another source that is aligned with your instructional goals (see Task Resources at the end of the article for suggested sources of high-level tasks). It is helpful to begin your collaborative work by focusing on a subset of TTLP questions rather than attempting to respond to all the questions in one sitting. Here are some suggestions on how to begin collaborative planning.

**Articulating the Goal for the Lesson**

The first question in part 1—What are your mathematical goals for the lesson?—is a critical starting point for planning. Using a selected task, you can begin to discuss what you are trying to accomplish through the use of this particular task. The challenge is to be clear about what mathematical ideas students are to learn and understand from their work on the task, not just what they will do. For example, teachers implementing the Bag of Marbles task may want students to be able to determine that bag Y will give the best chance of picking a blue marble and to present a correct explanation why. Although this is a reasonable expectation, it present no detail on what students understand about ratios, the different comparisons that can be made with a ratio (i.e., part to part, part to whole, two different measures), or the different ways that ratios can be compared (e.g., scaling the parts up or down to a common amount, scaling the whole up or down to a common amount, or converting a part-to-whole fraction to a percent). By being clear on exactly what students will learn, you will be better positioned to capitalize on opportunities to advance the mathematics in the lesson and make decisions about what to emphasize and de-emphasize. Discussion with colleagues will give you the opportunity to broaden your view regarding the mathematical potential of the task and the “residue” (Hiebert et al. 1997) that is likely to remain after the task.

**Anticipating Student Responses to the Task**

The third question in part 1—What are all the ways the task can be solved?—invites teachers to move beyond their own way of solving a problem and consider the correct and incorrect approaches that students are likely to use. You and your colleagues can brainstorm various approaches for solving the task (including wrong answers) and identify a subset of the solution methods that would be useful in reaching the mathematical goals for the lesson. This helps make a
lesson more “intellectually controlable” (Stein and Kim 2006) by encouraging you to think through the possibilities in advance of the lesson and hence requiring fewer improvisational moves during the lesson. If actual student work is available for the task being discussed, it can help you anticipate how students will proceed. For example, reviewing the student work in figure 3 can provide insight into a range of approaches, such as comparing fractions in figure 3d, finding and comparing percents in figure 3b, or comparing part-to-part ratios in figure 3g. Student work will also present opportunities to discuss incorrect or incomplete solutions such as treating the ratio 1/3 as a fraction in figure 3a, comparing differences rather than finding a common basis for comparison in figure 3f, and correctly comparing x and z but failing to then compare x and y in figure 3h.

In addition, there should also be opportunities to discuss which strategies might be most helpful in meeting the goals for the lesson. Although it is impossible to predict everything that students might do, by working with colleagues, you can anticipate what may occur.

Creating Questions That Assess and Advance Students’ Thinking

The main point of part 2 of the TTLP is to create questions to ask students that will help them focus on the mathematical ideas that are at the heart of the lesson as they explore the task. The questions you ask during instruction determine what students learn and understand about mathematics. Several studies point to both the importance of asking good questions during instruction and the difficulty that teachers have in doing so (e.g., Weiss and Pasley 2004).

You and your colleagues can use the solutions you anticipated and create questions that can assess what students understand about the problem (e.g., clarify what the student has done and what the student understands) and help students advance toward the mathematical goals of the lesson. Teachers can extend students beyond their current thinking by pressing them to extend what they know to a new situation or think about something they are not currently thinking about. If student responses for the task are available, you might generate assessing and advancing questions for each anticipated student response. Consider, for example, the responses shown in figure 3 to the Bag of Marbles problem.

If you, as the teacher, approached the student who produced response (c) during the lesson, you would notice that the student compared red marbles to blue marbles, reduced these ratios to unit rates (number of red marbles to one blue marble), and then wrote the whole numbers (3, 2, and 4). However, the student did not use these calculations to determine that in bag Y the number of red marbles was only twice the number of blue marbles, whereas in bag X and Z

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fig. 3 Student solutions to the Bag of Marbles task</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bag X is 1/2 blue</strong> and bag Z is 1/3 blue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This is 1/2 so it must be bag Y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| \[
\begin{align*}
X & = 35 \div 2 = 17.5 \\
Y & = 30 \div 2 = 15 \\
Z & = 70 \div 2 = 35
\end{align*}
\] |
| (b) |
| Since the marbles in bag Y are greater than the others. |
| (c) |
| **Bag X is 1/3 blue and bag Y is 1/2 blue.** |
| (d) |
| **Bag Y has 1 blue to 2 red and bag Z has 1 blue to 4 red.** |
| (e) |
| Notice in the first bag, there are 75 red and 25 blue, that is a 1:3 chance. |
| Notice in the second bag, there are 40 red and 60 blue, that is a 2:3 chance. |
| Notice in the third bag, there are 100 red and 25 blue, that is a 4:1 chance. |
| This shows that in bag Y you would be likely to pick a blue marble. |
| (f) |
| **Bag X as 95 red and 25 blues and bag Z as 100 red and 25 blues.** |
| (g) |
| (h) |
Determining what a student understands about the comparisons that he or she makes can open a window into the student’s thinking. Once you have a clear sense of how the student is thinking about the task, you are better positioned to ask questions that will advance his or her understanding and help the student build a sound argument based on the mathematical work.

**POTENTIAL BENEFITS OF USING THE TTLP**

Over the last several years, the TTLP has been used by numerous elementary and secondary teachers with varying levels of teaching experience who wanted to implement high-level tasks in their classrooms. The cumulative experiences of these teachers suggest that the TTLP can be a useful tool in planning, teaching, and reflecting on lessons and can lead to improved teaching. Several teachers have commented, in particular, on the value of solving the task in multiple ways before the lesson begins and devising questions to ask that are based on anticipated approaches. For example, one teacher indicated, “I often come up with great questions because I am exploring the task deeper and developing ‘what if’ questions.” Another participant suggested that preparing questions in advance helps her support students without taking over the number of red marbles were 3 and 4 times, respectively, the number of blue marbles. You might want to ask the student who produced response (c) a series of questions that will help you assess what the student currently understands:

- What quantities did you compare and why?
- What did the numbers 3, 2, and 4 mean in terms of the problem?
- How could the mathematical work you are doing, making comparisons, help you answer the question?

The TTLP has also been a useful tool for beginning teachers. In an interview about lesson planning conducted at the end of the first semester of her year-long internship (and nearly six months after she first encountered the TTLP), another preservice teacher offered the following explanation about how the TTLP had influenced her planning:

I may not have it sitting on my desk, going point to point with it, but I think: What are the misconceptions? How am I going to organize work? What are my questions? Those are the three big things that I’ve taken from the TTLP, and those are the three big things that I think about when planning a lesson. So, no, I’m not matching it up point for point but those three concepts are pretty much in every lesson, essentially.

Although this teacher does not follow the TTLP in its entirety each time she plans a lesson, she has taken key aspects of the TTLP and made them part of her daily lesson planning.

**CONCLUSION**

The purpose of the Thinking Through a Lesson Protocol is to prompt teachers to think deeply about a specific lesson that they will be teaching. The goal is to move beyond the structural components often associated with lesson planning to a deeper consideration of how to advance students’ mathematical understanding during the lesson. By shifting the emphasis from what the teacher is doing to what students are thinking, the teacher will be better positioned to help students make sense of mathematics. One mathematics teacher summed up the potential of the TTLP in this statement:

Sometimes it’s very time-consuming, trying to write these lesson plans, but it’s very helpful. It really helps the lesson go a lot smoother and even not having it front of me, I think it really helps me focus my thinking, which then [it] kind of helps me focus my students’ thinking, which helps us get to an objective and leads to a better lesson.

In addition to helping you create individual lessons, the TTLP can also help you consider your teaching practice over time. As another teacher pointed out, “The usefulness of the TTLP is in accepting that [your practice] evolves over time. Growth occurs as the protocol is continually revisited and as you reflect on successes and failures.”

**TASK RESOURCES**


Illuminations. illuminations.nctm.org/Lessons.aspx.
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